arXiv:1603.01193v1 [math.AP] 3 Mar 2016

Infinite many Blow-up solutions for a Schrödinger quasilinear elliptic problem with a non-square diffusion term

Carlos Alberto Santos* **Jiazheng Zhou†**

Universidade de Brasília, Departamento de Matemática

70910-900, Brasília - DF - Brazil

e-mails: csantos@unb.br, jiazzheng@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we consider existence of positive solutions for the Schrödinger quasilinear elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u + \Delta_p(|u|^{2\gamma})|u|^{2\gamma-2}u = a(x)g(u) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,\ u(x) \overset{|x|\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty, \end{cases}$$

where $a(x),\ x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $g(s)\ s > 0$ are a nonnegative and continuous functions with g being nonincreasing as well, $\gamma > 1/2$, and $N \geq 1$. By a dual approach we establish sufficient conditions for existence and multiplicity of solutions for this problem.

2012 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 35J10, 35J92, 35B07, 35B08, 35B44.

Key words: Schrödinger equations, Blow up solutions, Quasilinear problems, Non-square diffusion, Multiplicity of solutions.

1 Introduction

In this paper, let us consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u + \Delta_p(|u|^{2\gamma})|u|^{2\gamma-2}u = a(x)g(u) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,\ u(x) \overset{|x|\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Delta_p u = div(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ with $1 < p < \infty$ is called the $p-Laplacian$ operator, $a(x),\ x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is a nonnegative continuous function, $g(s),\ s \geq 0$ is a nondecreasing continuous function that satisfies $g(0) = 0$, $\gamma > 1/2$, and $N \geq 1$.

In the case $p = 2$, the equation (1.1) is referred in the literature as a modified nonlinear Schoödinger equation because it contains a quasilinear and nonconvex term $\Delta(|u|^{2\gamma})|u|^{2\gamma-2}u$. This quasilinear term is called of non-square diffusion for $\gamma \neq 1$ and square diffusion for $\gamma = 1$. In

*Carlos Alberto Santos acknowledges the support of CAPES/Brazil Proc. N^o 2788/2015 − 02,

†Jiazheng Zhou was supported by CNPq/Brazil Proc. N^o 232373/2014 − 0,

particular when $\gamma = 1$, the solution of (1.1) is related to standing wave solutions for the quasilinear Schrödinger equation

$$iz_t + \Delta z - \omega(x)z + \kappa\Delta(h(|z|^2))h'(|z|^2)z + \eta(x,z) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.2}$$

where ω is a potential given , h and η are real functions and κ is a real constant. This connecting is established by the fact that $z(t,x) = e^{-i\beta t}u(x)$ is a solution to the equation (1.2), if u satisfies the equation in (1.1), for suitable ω, h and η.

The quasilinear Schrödinger equation (1.2) is an important model that comes from of several mathematical and physical phenomena, for example, if $h(s) = s$ it models a superfluid film in plasma physics [9], while for $h(s) = (1+s)^{1/2}$, the equation (1.2) models the self-channeling of a high-power ultrashort laser in matter [8] and [16]. In addition, it also appears in the theory of Heidelberg ferromagnetism and magnus [6],[13]; in dissipative quantum mechanics [1]; and in condensed matter theory [4].

On the other side, it is well-known that the blow-up condition appears in the study of population dynamics, subsonic motion of a gas, non-Newtonian fluids, non-Newtonian filtration as well as in the theory of the electric potential in a glowing hollow metal body. The research of this subject passed by a great development with the works of Keller [7] and Osserman [15] in 1957 that established necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of solutions for the semilinear and autonomous problem (that is, $p = 2$ and $a \equiv 1$)

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = a(x)g(u) \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \ u(x) \overset{|x|\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where g is a non-decreasing continuous function. Keller established that

$$(\mathbf{G}): \qquad \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{\sqrt[p]{G(t)}} = \infty, \text{ where } G(t) = \int_0^t g(s)ds, \ t > 0$$

is a sufficient and necessary condition for the problem (1.3) to have solution. In this same year, Osserman proved the same result for sub solutions of (1.3). After these works, when **(G)** is not satisfied, the function g has become well-known as a $Keller - Osserman$ function.

After this, a number of researchers have worked in related problems. These researches have showed that the existence of solutions for (1.3) is very sensible to the "how radial" is $a(x)$ at infinity, that is, how big is the number

$$a_{osc}(r) := \overline{a}(r) - \underline{a}(r), \ r \geq 0,$$

where

$$\underline{a}(r) = \min\{a(x) \ / \ |x| = r\} \ \text{ and } \ \overline{a}(r) = \max\{a(x) \ / \ |x| = r\}, \ r \geq 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Note that $a_{osc}(r) = 0$, $r \geq r_0$ if, and only if, a is symmetric radially in $|x| \geq r_0$, for some $r_0 \geq 0$. That is, if $r_0 = 0$ we say that a is radially symmetric.

In this direction, for $p = 2$ and a radially symmetric, Lair and Wood in [11] considered $g(u) = u^\gamma$, $u \geq 0$ with $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ (that is, g does not satisfies (**G**)) and showed that

$$\int_1^\infty ra(r)dr = \infty. \tag{1.5}$$

is a sufficient condition for (1.3) has a radial solution.

Still with $p = 2$, in 2003, Lair [10] enlarged the class of potentials $a(x)$ by permitting a_{osc} to assume values not identically null, but not too big ones. More exactly, he assumed

$$\int_0^\infty r a_{osc}(r) exp(\underline{A}(r)) dr < \infty, \quad \text{where } \underline{A}(r) = \int_0^r s\underline{a}(s) ds, \ r \geq 0$$

and proved that (1.3), with suitable f that includes u^γ for $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, admits a solution if, and only if, (1.5) holds with $\underline{a}$ in the place of a. Keeping us in this context, we also quote Rhouma and Drissi [3] for $2 \leq p \leq N$, and references therein.

Coming back to problem (1.1), we note that issues about existence and multiplicity of solutions for equations related to the equation in (1.1) (since positive, negative to nodal solutions) have been treated by a number of researchers recently, but there is no accurate results for existence of solutions to (1.1), that is, with the blow up behavior for the solutions. See for instance [12, 20, 5, 2, 18, 19, 22] and references therein.

Before stating ours principal results, we set that a solution of (1.1) is a positive function $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ that satisfies $u \to \infty$ as $|x| \to \infty$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + (2\gamma)^{p-1} u^{p(2\gamma-1)}) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi dx \ +$$

$$(2\gamma)^{p-1}(2\gamma - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p u^{p(2\gamma-1)-1} \varphi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) g(u) \varphi dx = 0, \text{ for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

and consider the assumption on g

$(\mathbf{g})$: $\quad \liminf_{t \to \infty} \dfrac{g(t)}{t^{2\gamma(2\gamma-1)}} > 0.$

holds.

We point out that this hypothesis is so natural, because when $\gamma = 1/2$ (that is, the problem (1.1) reduces to (1.3)), it reduces to a standard condition for (1.3)). Our first result is.

Theorem 1.1 *Assume that g satisfies* **(g)** *and* **(G)**. *If $a(x)$ is such that $a_{osc} \equiv 0$ and*

$$\int_0^\infty \Big(s^{1-N} \int_0^s t^{N-1} a(t) dt \Big)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} ds = \infty \tag{1.6}$$

holds, then there exists a positive constant $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_a = (\mathcal{A}, \infty)$, where

$$\mathcal{A}_a = \{\alpha > \mathcal{A} \ / \ (1.1) \text{ admits a radial solution with } u(0) = \alpha\}.$$

For non-radial potentials $a(x)$, motivated by recent works, we assume that $\mathcal{G} : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{G}(t) = \frac{t}{2} g(t)^{-1/(p-1)}, \ t > 0,$$

is a non-decreasing and invertible function such that

$$(\mathcal{G}): \ 0 \leq \overline{H} := \int_0^\infty \Big(s^{1-N} \int_0^s t^{N-1} a_{osc}(t) dt \Big)^{1/p-1} \Big[g\Big(\mathcal{G}^{-1}\Big(s \Big(\int_0^s \overline{a}(t) dt \Big)^{1/p-1} \Big) \Big) \Big]^{1/p-1} ds < \infty$$

holds.

After this, we state our second result.

Theorem 1.2 *Assume $p \geq 2$, g satisfies* **(g)**, **(G)** *and $g(t)/t^{\delta}, t > 0$ is non-decreasing for some $\delta \geq 2\gamma - 1$. Suppose also that $a(x)$ is such that $\underline{a}$ satisfies (1.6) and $\overline{a}$ satisfies $(\mathcal{G})$. Then there exists a solution $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of the problem (1.1) satisfying $\alpha \leq u(0) \leq (\alpha + \varepsilon) + \overline{H}$, for each $\alpha > \mathcal{A}, \varepsilon > 0$ given.*

We organized this paper in the following way. In the section 2, we establish an equivalent problem to the (1.1), via an very specific changing variable, and in the last section we completed the proof of theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

2 Auxiliar results

We begin this section proving a result that permits us to transform (1.1) into a new problem with an structure in what is clearest to see how the $Keller - Osserman$ condition works. As noted before, this condition is fundamental to show existence of solutions that blow-up at infinity. This approach of changing the (1.1) for another one was introduced by [12] (for $\gamma = 1$ and $p = 2$) and followed by a number of authors to study related equations to (1.2).

To do this, motivated by [5] with $p = 2$ and [17] with $\gamma = 1$, we are going to consider f given by the solution of the equation

$$f'(t) = \frac{1}{[1 + (2\gamma)^{p-1}|f(t)|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{1/p}}, \ t \in (0, \infty); \ f(t) = -f(-t), \ t \in (-\infty, 0], \tag{1.7}$$

and we are able to prove the next Lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *Assume $p > 1$ and $\gamma > 1/2$ hold. Then f satisfies:*

$(f)_1$ *$f \in C^1$ is uniquely defined and invertible,*

$(f)_2$ *$0 < f'(t) \leq 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$(f)_3$ *$|f(t)| \leq |t|$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$(f)_4$ *$f(t)/t \to 1$ when $t \to 0$,*

$(f)_5$ *$|f(t)|^{2\gamma} \leq (2\gamma)^{1/p}|t|$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$(f)_6$ *$f(t)/2 \leq \gamma t f'(t) \leq \gamma f(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$,*

$(f)_7$ *$|f(t)|/|t|^{1/2\gamma} \to A > 0$ when $|t| \to \infty$, where A is a constant,*

$(f)_8$ *there exists a positive constant C so that $|t| \leq C[|f(t)| + |f(t)|^{2\gamma}]$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$(f)_9$ *$|f(t)|^{(2\gamma-1)} f'(t) \leq 1/2^{(p-1)/p}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$(f)_{10}$ *$f'(t)f(t)^{\delta}$ is non-decreasing for all $t \geq 0$ and $\delta \geq 2\gamma - 1$.*

Proof of $(f)_1$: Considering the problem

$$\begin{cases} y' = [1 + (2\gamma)^{p-1}|y|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{-1/p}, & t > 0, \\ y(0) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

it follows from Theorem of existence and uniqueness for initial value problem in ordinary differential equations that the problem (1.8) has an unique solution, namely, $y = f(t)$. Besides this, $f'(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ implies that f is invertible.
Proof of $(f)_2$: It follows from above that $f'(t) = [1 + (2\gamma)^{p-1}|f(t)|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{-1/p} \in (0, 1],\ t \in [0, \infty)$. Now, for $t \leq 0$, it follows from the definition of f that $f'(t) = f'(-t) = [1 + (2\gamma)^{p-1}|f(-t)|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{-1/p} \in (0, 1]$, as well.
Proof of $(f)_3$: This follows from $(f)_2$, $f(0) = 0$, and $f'(t) \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$, together with the fact of f being a odd function.
Proof of $(f)_4$: Since,

$$f(t) = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{[1 + (2\gamma)^{p-1}|f(s)|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{1/p}} = \frac{t}{[1 + (2\gamma)^{p-1}|f(\eta)|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{1/p}},$$

for some $\eta \in (0, t)$, we obtain from this information, that

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(t)}{t} = \lim_{\eta\to 0} \frac{1}{[1 + (2\gamma)^{p-1}|f(\eta)|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{1/p}} = 1.$$

Proof of $(f)_5$: Since, $f'(t)[1 + (2\gamma)^{p-1}|f(t)|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{1/p} = 1$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows by integration that

$$\int_0^t f'(s)[1 + (2\gamma)^{p-1}|f(s)|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{\frac{1}{p}} ds = t, \text{ for } t > 0.$$

So, doing the change variable $z = f(s)$, we obtain

$$t = \int_0^{f(t)} [1 + (2\gamma)^{p-1} z^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{1/p} dz \geq (2\gamma)^{(p-1)/p} \int_0^{f(t)} z^{(2\gamma-1)} dz = (2\gamma)^{(p-1)/p} \frac{f(t)^{2\gamma}}{2\gamma},$$

that is, $f(t)^{2\gamma} \leq (2\gamma)^{1/p} t$, for all $t \geq 0$. Since, f is an odd function, it follows the claim $(f)_5$.
Proof of $(f)_6$: Let us define $F_1(t) := 2\gamma t - f(t)[1 + (2\gamma)^{p-1} f(t)^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{1/p}$, $t \geq 0$ and note that $F_1(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F_1'(t) &= (2\gamma - 1) - (2\gamma - 1)(2\gamma)^{p-1} f(t)^{p(2\gamma-1)} [1 + (2\gamma)^{p-1} f(t)^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{\frac{1-p}{p}} f'(t) \\ &= \frac{2\gamma - 1}{1 + (2\gamma)^{p-1} f(t)^{p(2\gamma-1)}} = (2\gamma - 1) f'(t)^p,\ t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, it follows from $(f)_2$ that $F_1'(t) > 0,\ t > 0$, that is, the first inequality follows from the non-negativeness of F_1.

In a similar way, defining $F_2(t) := t - f(t)[1 + (2\gamma)^{p-1} f(t)^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{1/p}$, $t \geq 0$ and noting that $F_2(0) = 0$ and

$$F_2'(t) = -(2\gamma - 1)(2\gamma)^{p-1} f(t)^{p(2\gamma-1)} [1 + (2\gamma)^{p-1} f(t)^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{\frac{1-p}{p}} f'(t) < 0,\ t > 0,$$

it follows our second inequality.
Proof of $(f)_7$: Since,

$$\Big(\frac{f(t)}{t^{1/2\gamma}}\Big)' = \frac{f'(t) t^{1/2\gamma} - \frac{1}{2\gamma} t^{\frac{1}{2\gamma}-1} f(t)}{t^{1/\gamma}} = \frac{2\gamma t f'(t) - f(t)}{2\gamma t t^{1/2\gamma}},$$

it follows from $(f)_6$, that $f(t)/t^{1/2\gamma},\ t>0$ is increasing. So, by using $(f)_5$, we obtain our claim.
Proof of $(f)_8$: It follows from $(f)_4$, $(f)_7$, and the fact of f being odd, that

$$|f(t)| \geq \begin{cases} C|t|, & |t| \leq 1, \\ C|t|^{1/2\gamma}, & |t| \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

for some real positive constant C. That is, $|t| \leq C[|f(t)| + |f(t)|^{2\gamma}]$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
Proof of $(f)_9$: This is an immediate consequence of the definition of f.
Proof of $(f)_{10}$: It follows from definition, that

$$f''(t) = -(2\gamma)^{p-1}(2\gamma-1)f(t)^{p(2\gamma-1)-1}[1+(2\gamma)|f(t)|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{-2/p-1}\ t \geq 0,$$

that is,

$$(f'(t)f(t)^{\delta})' = [1+(2\gamma)|f(t)|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{-2/p} f(t)^{\delta-1}\frac{\delta+(2\gamma)^{p-1}(\delta+1-2\gamma)f(t)^{p(2\gamma-1)}}{1+(2\gamma)^{p-1}|f(t)|^{p(2\gamma-1)}} > 0,\ t>0,$$

because $\delta \geq 2\gamma - 1$, by hypothesis. These end our proof. ∎

Below, we are going to apply the the function f determined by (1.7) to reduce (1.1) to another one. So, we have.

Lemma 2.2 *Assume $u = f(w)$ (or $w = f^{-1}(u)$). Then u is a solution of (1.1) if, and only if w is a solution of the problem*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p w = a(x)g(f(w))f'(w) \quad in\ \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \quad w \geq 0\ in\ \mathbb{R}^N, \quad w(x) \overset{|x|\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty. \end{cases} \tag{1.9}$$

Proof First, note that it follows from Lemma 2.1-$(f)_1$ that $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if, and only if, $w \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u \geq 0$ if, and only if, $w \geq 0$. Besides this, it follows from Lemma 2.1-$(f)_5$ and $(f)_8$ that $w(x) \to \infty$ as $|x| \to \infty$ if, and only if, $u(x) \to \infty$ as $|x| \to \infty$. To complete the proof, since

$$\nabla u = f'(w)\nabla w = \frac{1}{[1+(2\gamma)^{p-1}|f(w)|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{\frac{1}{p}}}\nabla w = \frac{1}{[1+(2\gamma)^{p-1}|u|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{\frac{1}{p}}}\nabla w,$$

we get to

$$(1+(2\gamma)^{p-1}|u|^{p(2\gamma-1)})|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u = [1+(2\gamma)^{p-1}|u|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{\frac{1}{p}}|\nabla w|^{p-2}\nabla w,$$

that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(1+(2\gamma)^{p-1}|u|^{p(2\gamma-1)})|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\nabla\varphi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}[1+(2\gamma)^{p-1}|u|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{\frac{1}{p}}|\nabla w|^{p-2}\nabla w\nabla\varphi dx,$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

On the other side, since

$$[1+(2\gamma)^{p-1}|u|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{1/p}\nabla\varphi = \nabla\{[1+(2\gamma)^{p-1}|u|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{1/p}\varphi\} - \nabla[1+(2\gamma)^{p-1}|u|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{1/p}\varphi,$$

holds, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(1+(2\gamma)^{p-1}|u|^{p(2\gamma-1)})|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\nabla\varphi dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla w|^{p-2}\nabla w\nabla\{[1+(2\gamma)^{p-1}|u|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{1/p}\varphi\}dx\\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla w|^{p-2}\nabla w\nabla[1+(2\gamma)^{p-1}|u|^{p(2\gamma-1)}]^{1/p}\varphi dx\\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}[a(x)g(u)+(2\gamma)^{p-1}(2\gamma-1)|\nabla u|^p|u|^{p(2\gamma-1)-1}]\varphi dx.\end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi\in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. So, by density, it follows our proof.

3 Proof of Theorems

In the sequel, we are going to apply the last two Lemmas, together with some ideas found in [14], to complete our proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $a(x)=a(|x|)$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$, we have that (1.9) is equivalent to the problem

$$\begin{cases}(r^{N-1}|w'|^{p-2}w')'=r^{N-1}a(r)g(f(w))f'(w) \quad in\ (0,\infty),\\ w'(0)=0, \quad w(0)=\alpha\geq 0,\end{cases}\tag{1.10}$$

where $r=|x|\geq 0$ and $\alpha\geq 0$ is a real number. Now, since a, g and f' are continuous functions, it follows from an approach in [21] that there exists a $\Gamma(\alpha)>0$ (maximal extreme to the right for the existence interval of solutions for (1.10), and a $w_\alpha\in C^2(0,\Gamma(\alpha))\cap C^1([0,\Gamma(\alpha)))$ solution of (1.10) on $(0,\Gamma(\alpha))$, for each $\alpha>0$ given.

If we assumed that $\Gamma(\alpha)<\infty$ for some $\alpha>0$, we obtain by standard arguments on ordinary differential equations that $w_\alpha(r)\to\infty$ as $r\to\Gamma(\alpha)^-$, that is, $w_\alpha(|x|)$ would satisfies to the problem

$$\begin{cases}(r^{N-1}|w'|^{p-2}w')'=r^{N-1}a(r)g(f(w))f'(w) \quad in\ (0,\infty),\\ w(0)=\alpha>0,\ w'(0)=0,\ w_\alpha(x)\overset{r\to\Gamma(\alpha)^-}{\longrightarrow}\infty.\end{cases}$$

Moreover, it follows from Lemma 2.1-$(f)_2$, that w satisfies

$$\begin{cases}(r^{N-1}|w'|^{p-2}w')'\leq a_\infty r^{N-1}g(f(w)) \quad in\ (0,\infty),\\ w(0)=\alpha>0,\ w'(0)=0,\ w_\alpha(x)\overset{r\to\Gamma(\alpha)^-}{\longrightarrow}\infty,\end{cases}\tag{1.11}$$

where $a_\infty=\max_{\bar{B}_{\Gamma(\alpha)}}a(x)$.

Since $u'\geq 0$, we can rewrite the inequality in (1.11) as

$$\Big((w')^{p-1}\Big)'\leq a_\infty(g\circ f)(w),\ \text{for all } 0<r<\Gamma(\alpha)$$

and multiplying by w' and integrating on $(0,r)$, we obtain

$$\frac{p-1}{p}\Big(w'(r)\Big)^p\leq\int_0^r a_\infty(g\circ f)(w(s))w'(s)ds=a_\infty\int_0^{w(r)}(g\circ f)(s)ds-a_\infty\int_0^{w(0)}(g\circ f)(s)ds,$$

that is,

$$\Big(\int_0^{w(r)}(g\circ f)(s)ds\Big)^{-1/p}w'(r)\leq\Big(a_\infty\frac{p}{p-1}\Big)^{1/p},\ \text{for all } 0<r<\Gamma(\alpha).$$

Now, by integrating in the last inequality over $(0,\Gamma(\alpha))$ and reminding that $w_\alpha(x)\to\infty$ as $r\to\Gamma(\alpha)^-$, we obtain

$$\int_{w(0)}^{\infty}\Big(\int_0^t(g\circ f)(s)ds\Big)^{-1/p}dt\leq\Big(a_\infty\frac{p}{p-1}\Big)^{1/p}\Gamma(\alpha)<\infty. \tag{1.12}$$

On the other side, it follows from Lemma 2.1-$(f)_3$, and monotonicity of g, that $(g\circ f)(t))\leq g(t)$ for all $t\geq 0$. That is,

$$\int_0^t(g\circ f)(s)ds\leq\int_0^t g(s)ds=G(t),\ t\geq 0.$$

As a consequence of this, we have

$$\int_{w(0)}^{\infty}G(t)^{-1/p}dt\leq\int_{w(0)}^{\infty}\Big(\int_0^t(g\circ f)(s)ds\Big)^{-1/p}dt.$$

So, it follows from (1.12), that

$$\int_1^{\infty}G(t)^{-1/p}dt<\infty,$$

but this is impossible, because we are assuming that g satisfies the hypothesis **(G)**.

To complete the proof, it follows from $(f)_3$, $(f)_7$ and of definition of f, that there exist real constants $A_1,A_2>0$ such that

$$f(t)\geq A_1t^{1/2\gamma}\ \text{ and }\ f'(t)\geq A_2t^{1-2\gamma}\ \text{for all }\ t>\mathcal{A},$$

for some $\mathcal{A}>0$. So, as a consequence of these, we have

$$\begin{aligned}g(f(w_\alpha(r)))f'(w_\alpha(r)) &\geq A_2g(f(w_\alpha))w_\alpha^{1-2\gamma}=A_2\tfrac{g(f(w_\alpha))}{f(w\alpha)^{2\gamma(2\gamma-1)}}[\tfrac{f(w_\alpha)^{2\gamma}}{w_\alpha}]^{(2\gamma-1)}\\ &\geq A_2A_1^{(2\gamma-1)}\frac{g(f(w_\alpha))}{f(w_\alpha)^{2\gamma(2\gamma-1)}}:=M>0,\ \text{for all } r>0,\end{aligned}$$

and for each $\alpha>\mathcal{A}$ given, because $w_\alpha(r)\geq\alpha$, for all $r\geq 0$.

Since, w_α satisfies

$$w_\alpha(r)=\alpha+\int_0^r\Big(t^{1-N}\int_0^t s^{N-1}a(s)g(f(w_\alpha))f'(w_\alpha)ds\Big)^{1/p-1}dt,\ r\geq 0,$$

it follows from above informations, that

$$w_\alpha(t)\geq\alpha+M^{1/p-1}\int_0^r\Big(t^{1-N}\int_0^r s^{N-1}a(s)ds\Big)^{1/p-1}dt\to\infty,\ \text{when } r\to\infty.$$

This end the proof. ∎

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Given $\beta > \alpha > \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{A} > 0$ was given above, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that there exist positive and radial solutions w_α and w_β to the problems

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p w_\alpha = \overline{a}(|x|)g(f(w_\alpha))f'(w_\alpha) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ w_\alpha(0) = \alpha,\ w_\alpha(x) \overset{|x|\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_p w_\beta = \underline{a}(|x|)g(f(w_\beta))f'(w_\beta) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ w_\beta(0) = \beta,\ w_\beta(x) \overset{|x|\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty, \end{cases}$$

respectively, where $\underline{a}$ and $\overline{a}$ were defined in (1.4).

Besides this, it follows from w_α and g be nondecreasing, (1.6) and Lemma 2.1-$(f)_3$, that

$$\begin{aligned} w_\alpha(r) &\leq 2g(w_\alpha(r))^{1/(p-1)} \int_0^r \Big(\int_0^t \overline{a}(s)ds \Big)^{1/p-1} dt \\ &\leq 2g(w_\alpha(r))^{1/(p-1)} \Big[r\Big(\int_0^r \overline{a}(t)dt \Big)^{1/p-1} - \frac{1}{p-1} \int_0^r t\overline{a}(t) \Big(\int_0^t \overline{a}(s)ds \Big)^{2-p/p-1} dt \Big] \\ &\leq 2rg(w_\alpha(r))^{1/(p-1)} \Big(\int_0^r \overline{a}(t)dt \Big)^{1/p-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $r > 0$ sufficiently large. That is,

$$w_\alpha(r) \leq \mathcal{G}^{-1}\Big(r\Big(\int_0^r \overline{a}(t)dt \Big)^{1/p-1} \Big), \text{ for all } r >> 0.$$

Now, setting

$$0 < S(\beta) = sup\{r > 0 \ / \ w_\alpha(r) < w_\beta(r)\} \leq \infty,$$

we claim that $S(\beta) = \infty$ for all $\beta > \alpha + \overline{H}$, for each $\alpha > \mathcal{A}$ given. In fact, by assuming this is not true, then there exists a $\beta_0 > \alpha + \overline{H}$ such that $w_\alpha(S(\beta_0)) = w_\beta(S(\beta_0))$. So, by using that g is non-decreasing, Lemma 2.1-$(f)_{10}$ and $w_\alpha \leq w_\beta$ on $[0, S(\beta_0)]$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0 &= \alpha + \int_0^{S(\beta_0)} \Big[\Big(t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1} \overline{a}(s) g(f(w_\alpha(s))) f'(w_\alpha) ds \Big)^{1/p-1} \\ &\quad - \Big(t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1} \underline{a}(s) g(f(w_\beta(s))) f'(w_\beta) ds \Big)^{1/p-1} \Big] dt \\ &= \alpha + \int_0^{S(\beta_0)} \Big[\Big(t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1} \overline{a}(s) g(w_\alpha(s)) f'(w_\alpha) ds \Big)^{1/p-1} \\ &\quad - \Big(t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1} \underline{a}(s) \frac{g(f(w_\beta(s)))}{f(w_\beta)^\delta} f'(w_\beta) f(w_\beta)^\delta ds \Big)^{1/p-1} \Big] dt \\ &= \alpha + \int_0^{S(\beta_0)} \Big[\Big(t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1} \overline{a}(s) g(w_\alpha(s)) f'(w_\alpha) ds \Big)^{1/p-1} \\ &\quad - \Big(t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1} \underline{a}(s) g(f(w_\alpha(s))) f'(w_\alpha) ds \Big)^{1/p-1} \Big] dt \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

holds.

On the other hands, it follows from g, f, and w_α being nondecreasing, that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \Big[\Big(t^{1-N} \textstyle\int_0^t s^{N-1} \overline{a}(s) g(f(w_\alpha)) f'(w_\alpha) ds \Big)^{1/p-1} - \Big(t^{1-N} \textstyle\int_0^t s^{N-1} \underline{a}(s) g(f(w_\alpha)) f'(w_\alpha) ds \Big)^{1/p-1} \Big] \chi_{[0,S(\beta)]}(t) \\ &= \Big[\Big(t^{1-N} \textstyle\int_0^t s^{N-1} [\overline{a}(s) - \underline{a}(s)] g(f(w_\alpha)) f'(w_\alpha) ds + \Big(t^{1-N} \textstyle\int_0^t s^{N-1} \underline{a}(s) g(f(w_\alpha)) f'(w_\alpha) ds \Big) \Big)^{1/p-1} \\ &- \Big(t^{1-N} \textstyle\int_0^t s^{N-1} \underline{a}(s) g(f(w_\alpha)) f'(w_\alpha) ds \Big)^{1/p-1} \Big] \chi_{[0,S(\beta)]}(s) \leq \Big(t^{1-N} \textstyle\int_0^t s^{N-1} a_{osc}(s) g(f(w_\alpha)) f'(w_\alpha) ds \Big)^{1/p-1} \\ &\leq \Big(t^{1-N} \textstyle\int_0^t s^{N-1} a_{osc}(s) ds \Big)^{1/p-1} \Big[g\Big(\mathcal{G}^{-1}\Big(t\Big(\textstyle\int_0^t \overline{a}(s) ds \Big)^{1/p-1} \Big) \Big) \Big]^{1/p-1} := \mathcal{H}(t),\ t >> 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_{[0,S(\beta)]}$ stands for the characteristic function of $[0, S(\beta)]$.

So, it follows from the hypothesis $(\mathcal{G})$ and (1.13), that

$$\beta_0 \leq \alpha + \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}(s)ds \leq \alpha + \overline{H},$$

but this is impossible.

Now, by setting $\beta = (\alpha + \epsilon) + \overline{H}$, for each $\alpha > \mathcal{A}, \epsilon > 0$ given, and considering the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p w = a(x)g(f(w))f'(w) \text{ in } B_n(0), \\ w \geq 0 \text{ in } B_n(0), \quad w = w_\alpha \text{ on } \partial B_n(0), \end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

we can infer by standard methods of sub and super solutions that there exists a $w_n = w_{n,\alpha} \in C^1(\overline{B}_n)$ solution of (1.14) satisfying $A < \alpha \leq w_\alpha \leq w_n \leq w_\beta$ in B_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, by compactness, there exists a $w \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $w(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} w_n(x)$ is a solution of (1.1). ■

Acknowledgement

This paper was completed while the first author was visiting the Professor Haim Brezis at Rutgers University. He thanks to Professor Brezis by his incentive and hospitality. In this time, the second author was visiting the Professor Zhitao Zhang at Chinese Academy of Sciences. He is grateful by his invitation and hospitality as well.

References

[1] S. Adachi, T. Watanabe, Uniqueness of the ground state solutions of quasilinear Schrödinger equations, Nonlinear Anal. **75** (2012), 819-833.

[2] C. Alves, Y. Wang and Y. Shen, Soliton solutions for a class of quasilinear Schrödinger equations with a parameter, J. Differential Equations **259** (2015), no 1, 318-343.

[3] N. Belhaj Rhouma, A. Drissi, Large and entire large solutions for a class of nonlinear problems, Appl. Math. Comput. **232** (2014), 272-284.

[4] J. Byeon, Z. Wang, Standing waves with a critical frequency for nonlinear Schrödinger equatons, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **165** (2002), 295-316.

[5] Y. Deng, Y. Li, X. Yan, Nodal solutions for a quasilinear Schrödinger equation with critical nonlinearity and non-square diffusion, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **14** (2015), no. 6, 2487-2508.

[6] R. Hasse, A general method for the solution of nonlinear soliton and kink Schrödinger equations, Z. Phys. B **37** (1980), 83-87.

[7] J. B. Keller, On solutions of $\Delta u = f(u)$, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **10** (1957), 503-510.

[8] A. Kosevich, B. Ivanov, A. Kovalev, Magnetic solitons, Phys. Rep. **194** (1990), 117-238.

[9] S. Kurihara, Large amplitude quasi-solitons in superfluid films, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **50** (1981), 3262-3267.

[10] A. Lair, Nonradial large solutions of sublinear elliptic equations, Appl. Anal. **82** (2003), 431-437.

[11] A. Lair, A. Wood, Large solutions of semilinear elliptic problems, Nonlinear Anal. **37** (1999), 805-812.

[12] J.Q. Liu, Y.Q. Wang, Z.Q. Wang, Soliton solutions for quasilinear Schrödinger equations II, J. Differential Equations **187** (2003), 473-493.

[13] V. Makhankov, V. Fedyanin, Non-linear effects in quasi-one-dimensional models of condensed matter theory, Phys. Rep. **104** (1984), 1-86.

[14] A. Mohammed, G. Porcu, G. Porru, Large solutions to some non-linear O.D.E. with singular coefficients, Nonlinear Anal. **47** (2001), 513-524.

[15] R. Osserman, On the inequality $\Delta u \geq f(u)$, Pacific. J. Math. **7** (1957), 1641-1647.

[16] G. Quispel, H. Capel, Equation of motion for the Heisenberg spin chain, Pysica A **110** (1982), 41-80.

[17] U. B. Severo, Existence of weak solutions for quasilinear elliptic equations involving the p-Laplacian, Electron. J. Differential Equations, no. **56** (2008), 1-16.

[18] E. Silva, G. Vieira, Quasilinear asymptotically periodic Schrödinger equations with critical growth, Calc. Var. **39** (2010), 1-33.

[19] K. Wu, Positive solutions of quasilinear Schrödinger equations with critical growth, Appl. Math. Lett. **45** (2015), 52-57.

[20] X. Wu, Multiple solutions for quasilinear Schrödinger equations with a parameter, J. Differential Equations **256** (2014), 2619- 2632.

[21] H. Yang, On the existence and asymptotic behavior of large solutions for a semilinear elliptic problem in $\mathbb{R}^N$, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., **4** , no 1 (2005), 187-198.

[22] J. Zhang, X. Tang, W. Zhang, Infinitely many solutions of quasilinear Schrödinger equation withsign-changing potential, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **420** (2014), 1762-1775.